

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,736	08/20/2003	Michael Peterson	LSI.77US01 (03-1088)	9152
24319 7590 03/02/2007 LSI LOGIC CORPORATION			EXAMINER	
1621 BARBER			VAUGHN, GREGORY J	
MS: D-106 MILPITAS, CA	95035		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2178	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No. Applicant(s)				
	10/645,736	PETERSON, MICHAEL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gregory J. Vaughn	2178			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 December 2006</u>. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is 					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 3-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

DETAILED ACTION

Application Background

- This action is responsive to the Request for Continued Examination, filed on 12/4/2006.
- 2. Applicant has amended claims 1, 7, 13 and 17. Claim 2 was previously canceled.
- 3. Claims 1 and 3-21 are pending in the case, claims 1, 7, 13 and 17 are independent claims.
- 4. A request for continued examination filed under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after a final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office Action (dated 7/28/2006) has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/30/2006 has been entered.

Art Unit: 2178

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

"A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States."
- Claims 1, 3, 5-14 and 16-21 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tittel et al., "XML for Dummies", Copyright 2000 IDG Books Worldwide (hereinafter Tittel).
- 7. Regarding independent claim 1, the Tittel reference is a training manual for writing extensible markup-language (XML) documents for use in computers and on the Internet. XML documents can generally be referred to as web pages (page 1, second paragraph). It is well known that XML (and other markup languages) can be used to exchange data on the Internet. Data is exchanged based upon a request from a client; the request is processed by a server, and a resultant web page is transmitted back to the requestor (pages 12-14). Tittel discloses parsing a definition file with a parser, said definition file comprising addresses to template files and addresses to content files, extracting the template and content files where the template file contains formatting information for the web page. Tittel discloses the use of document type definition (DTD) files on pages 61-63. Tittel discloses the use of style

Art Unit: 2178

sheets that control formatting of the web page on pages 141-145. Tittel discloses the DTD file referencing the addresses of both template and content files on page 189. Tittel discloses the DTD file referencing multiple template files, where the first template file is selected on pages 145-149. Tittel refers to these types of template files as cascading style sheets (CSS).

- 8. **Regarding dependent claim 3**, Tittel discloses the template file comprising page layout information on page 145. Tittel recites: "With CSS1, you can control the format and display of colors and backgrounds, fonts and text, spacing, element positioning and size"
- Regarding dependent claim 5, Tittel disclose the use of variables on pages 340-341.
- 10. **Regarding dependent claim 6**, Tittel discloses the use of pointers on pages 241-245
- 11. Regarding independent claims 7, 13 and 17, the claims are directed toward a method or system of claim 1 and are rejected using the same rationale.
- 12. Regarding dependent claims 8, 9, 16, 18 and 19, the claims are directed toward a method and system for the method of claim 5, and are rejected using the same rational.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,736

Page 5

Art Unit: 2178

13. **Regarding dependent claims 10 and 20**, Tittel discloses a variable that specifies a language preference (described as character sets) on pages 132-135.

- 14. **Regarding dependent claims 11 and 21**, Tittel discloses a variable that specifies a descriptor of the client computer system (described as a namespace) on pages 210-211.
- 15. **Regarding dependent claim 12**, the claim is directed toward a system for the method of claim 6 and is rejected using the same rationale.
- 16. **Regarding dependent claim 14**, Tittel discloses layout information as described above in the rejection of claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 17. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - "(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made."
- 18. Claims 4 and 15 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tittel in view of Hsu et al. US Patent Publication 2004/0010710, filed 7/10/2002, published 1/15/2004 (hereinafter Hsu).

Application/Control Number: 10/645,736

Art Unit: 2178

19. **Regarding dependent claims 4 and 15**, Tittel discloses serving web pages, as described above. Tittel discloses exchanging information, but fails to discloses determining if a client is authorized to view the content. Hsu teaches determining if a user is authorized to view content in figure 3 at reference sign 301 (shown as "Whether the URL is denied").

Therefore, it would have been obvious, to one of ordinary skill, at the time the invention was made to use the authorization control taught by Hsu with the web page serving system of Tittel in order to provide "a security system and method, used to control and filter requests according to an individuals user's authority" (Hsu, paragraph 10).

Page 6

Art Unit: 2178

Response to Arguments

20. Applicant's arguments filed 5/4/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

21. Applicant argues that cited prior art reference (Tittel) fails to disclose a parser that achieves the claimed invention. The Tittel reference is directed toward a training manual for extensible markup language (XML). Markup languages are source code for a web browser. Markup languages inherently use a parser to interpret the markup language that is subsequently processed by the processor for the web browser application. Webopedia defines parsing as:

"In linguistics, to divide language into small components that can be analyzed. For example, parsing this sentence would involvedividing it into words and phrases and identifying the type of each component (e.g., verb, adjective, or noun).

Parsing is a very important part of many computer science disciplines. For example, compilers parse source code to be able to translate it into object code Likewise, any application that processes complex commands must be able to parse the commands. This includes virtually all end-user applications.

Parsing is often divided into lexical analysis and semantic parsing. Lexical analysis concentrates on dividing strings into components, called tokens, based on punctuation and other keys. Semantic parsing then attempts to determine the meaning of the string."

Hence, an end-user application, like a web browser, that processes source code, like markup language, would inherently have parsing operations.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,736

Art Unit: 2178

Conclusion

22. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Gregory J. Vaughn whose telephone

number is (571) 272-4131. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to

Friday from 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Stephen S. Hong can be reached at (571) 272-4124.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or

proceeding is assigned is (571) 272-2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from

the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status

information for published applications may be obtained from either Private

PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is

available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center

(EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

regory J. Vaughn Patent Examiner

March 1, 2007

Page 8